

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,698	06/24/2005	Balakrishnan Srinivasan	NL 021333	8947
24737 7590 12/20/2006 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			FONG, VINCENT	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/540,698	SRINIVASAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vincent Fong	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed check this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Jul	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2005.				
· <u> </u>	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		:			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05-26-2006</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	Itent Application			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	IJ Ouiei				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the application and amendments filed on 06-24-

2005.

Claims 1-13 are pending and have been examined.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which

papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 05-26-2006 fails to comply with 37

CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document;

each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all

other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the

application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly

indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Very long instruction word processor with

functional unit idl

e indicator".

Application/Control Number: 10/540,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Priority claim

should be inserted in the beginning of the specification after the title.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

6. Claims 1, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Berenbaum et al. (EP 1139215 A2, hereinafter Berenbaum).

As per claim 1, Berenbaum discloses:

A VLIW processor (paragraph 0012) comprising a plurality of functional units, each for

executing an operation (figure 6), and a VLIW controller (the issue logic) connected to

each of said functional units and adapted to control said functional units characterized

by at least one indication means (state machine) associated with one of said functional

units and adapted to register and indicate to the VLIW controller whether said one

functional unit is idle or operating (paragraph 0013).

As per claim 9, rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berenbaum further discloses:

Art Unit: 2112

said one functional unit has a variable long latency; depending what instruction a functional unit is executing, the latency can be 2 cycles for MUL and 1 cycles for ADD (paragraph 0021, figure 6 and 7).

As per claim 11, Berenbaum discloses:

Method of processing data in a VLIW processor, comprising the steps: registering whether a functional unit is idle or operating (state machine); and indicating to said VLIW controller (issue logic) whether said functional unit is idle or operating (paragraph 0013).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-8, 10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berenbaum in view of Corporaal (MOVE32INT Architecture and programmer's reference manual).

As per claim 2, rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berenbaum discloses the limitations of claim 1.

Berenbaum does not disclose indication means adapted to register whether functional unit receive or output data.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,698

Art Unit: 2112

However, Corporaal discloses indication means (registers in the functional unit) is adapted to register whether said one functional unit receives data for executing its operation (SI1 indicates valid data in input register) and whether said one functional unit outputs data after executing its operation (SI2 indicates valid data in output register) (Page 6 figure 2.6, Page 53 paragraph 3, page 57 block diagram, page 58 lock request).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have make the necessary modification on Berenbaum inventions to incorporate Corporaal inventions. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Berenbaum inventions to increase hardware efficiency and flexibility in implementation and funcationality (page 12 section 2.6).

As per claim 3, rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: said indication means comprises an input register (TI1) for inputting data to said one functional unit (INT1) and an output register (RI1) for receiving data output from said one functional unit, said input and output register each comprising a presence bit (SI0, SI1) indicative of the presence or absence of data in the respective register(Page 53 paragraph 3, page 57 block diagram, page 58 lock request).

As per claim 4, rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: said input register (trigger register) is adapted to trigger the execution of the operation by said one functional unit, if data is present in the input register (page 4 paragraph 5).

As per claim 5, rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: indication means comprises an input register file having a plurality of said input registers (TCX, OCX) and an output register file having a plurality of said output registers (X, NX) (page 63 block diagram).

As per claim 6, rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: the input register file (trigger register) is adapted to trigger the execution of the operation by said one functional unit, if a predetermined number of the input registers contain data (one register, when trigger register contain data) (page 4 paragraph 5).

As per claim 7, rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: a temporary register (TCX) for storing data to be used repeatedly by said one functional unit (always used by the attached functional unit), said temporary register being connected to said one functional unit (page 63 block diagram).

As per claim 8, rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: the output register file (when result of current functional unit is used in a second funcational unit, the move from output register to trigger register is locked until output register show valid data) is adapted to trigger (when operation unlock, the transfer will be executed and trigger operation in a second integer functional unit) he execution of the operation of a second functional unit, if a predetermined number of output registers

Application/Control Number: 10/540,698

Art Unit: 2112

contain data (1 output register will need to contain valid data) (page 10 section 2.4, page 58 lock request).

As per claim 10, rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berenbaum discloses the limitations of claim 1.

Berenbaum does not disclose the latency of the one functional unit depends on the data to be processed by said functional unit.

However Corporaal discloses the latency of the one functional unit depends on the data to be processed by said functional unit (page 25 table 4.3); interger unit which process 32 bit data has latency of 3 while immediate unit which process 6 bit data has latency of 0.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have make the necessary modification on Berenbaum inventions to incorporate Corporaal inventions. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Berenbaum inventions to increase hardware efficiency and flexibility in implementation and funcationality (page 12 section 2.6).

As per claim 12, rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and Berenbaum discloses the limitation of claim 11.

Berenbaum does not disclose the steps registering whether said one functional unit receives data for executing its operation and whether said one functional unit outputs data after executing its operation.

However Corporaal discloses the steps registering whether said one functional unit receives data for executing its operation (SI1) and whether said one functional unit outputs data after executing its operation (SI2)(Page 6 figure 2.6, Page 53 paragraph 3, page 57 block diagram, page 58 lock request).

Page 8

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have make the necessary modification on Berenbaum inventions to incorporate Corporaal inventions. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Berenbaum inventions to increase hardware efficiency and flexibility in implementation and funcationality (page 12 section 2.6).

As per claim 13, rejection of claim 12 is incorporated and Corporaal further discloses: the steps of indicating to the VLIW controller (bus control unit) that the functional unit receives data, and indicating to the VLIW controller that the functional unit outputs data (interger unit locking and unlocking condition) (Page 6 figure 2.6, Page 53 paragraph 3, page 57 block diagram, page 58 lock request).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Homewood et al. (US PG Pub 2002/0087900 A1) discloses Vliw processor that monitor the functional unit to determine whether it is idle or not and power down the unit accordingly. Tanaka (US PG Pub 2003/0110201 A1) discloses a vliw processor controller monitor the functional unit to determine whether it is idle or not.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent Fong whose telephone number is 571-270-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 7:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chameli Das can be reached on 571-272-3696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent Fong VF December 14, 2006